

Amendments to permitted development rights

Details

Q1. Details

Name

[REDACTED]

Organisation

-

Preferred contact details (Email address, phone number or address)

[REDACTED]

Q2. Type (please select one from the following)

Responding in a private capacity

Q3. Responses to consultations may be made public. To keep your response anonymous (including email addresses) tick the box.

Keep my response anonymous

Questions

Q4. Q1. Should the additional days granted by Class A of Part 4A be retained permanently, permitting temporary uses to take place for up to 56 days (28 days for specified uses) in a calendar year?

No

Comments:

The neighbouring farm held a temporary camp site last year and it was a absolute nightmare. 28 day would be difficult but 56 is just too long. I cant believe this can be allowed in snowdonia national park, without consulting the people it impacts the most.

Q5. Q2. Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.

Yes

Comments:

I have photo graphic evidence of human waste on the track leading to my house, rubbish left by my bins ,congestion on the track which isnt up to the increase in traffic, damage to the track. The negative impacts include noise, smoke from 30 camp fires, the site still hasnt been cleared of camp site related items.

I feel a prisoner in my own home during the camping season.

Ive had trespassing on my land. My children are scared to walk to the village.

Ive lived here for 42 years and i dont think i can remain if this becomes the normal yearly thing.

I dont understand why one land owners financial benefit is more important than a familys mental health

.

There are plenty of appropriate camp sites to support the staycation or temporary sites in more suitable areas that arnt in the national park.

I chose to live in the national park because it should be protected from this type of issue.

Q6. Q3. Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this permanent? If yes, please specify.

Yes

Comments:

There is restrictions in the national park to prevent permanent camp sites and i think they should be applied to temporary ones too.

The track to my house receives on average 4 car journeys a day during the camping this increases to 60. I think there should be a limit to the number of tents and the camp site should have its own access and not have a negative impact on the local residents much like the guide lines set out by the caravan and camping organisations

Q7. Q4. Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?

No Response

Q8. Q5. Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?

No Response

Q9. Q6. Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q10. Q7. Do you agree the permitted development right for the use of the highway adjacent to a hospitality use for that purpose should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q11. Q8. If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?

No Response

Q12. Q9. Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q13. Q10. Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?

No Response

Q14. Q11. Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?

No Response

Q15. Q12. Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q16. Q13. Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could be adopted.

No Response

Q17. Q14. Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted development rights to accelerate the rollout of electric vehicle charging infrastructure? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q18. Q15. Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?

No Response

Q19. Q16. Do you agree with the proposals for amending Article 4 directions?

No Response

Q20. Q17. We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

No Response

Q21. 18. We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use the space below to raise them.

No Response

Submit your response

Q22. If you want to receive a receipt of your response, please provide an email address.

Email address

████████████████████